

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

<div>SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,</div> <div>Plaintiff-Applicant,</div> <div>v.</div> <div>BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,</div> <div>Defendant.</div>	Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (CGM)
<div>In re:</div> <div>BERNARD L. MADOFF,</div> <div>Debtor.</div>	SIPA Liquidation  (Substantively Consolidated)
<div>IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC and the Chapter 7 Estate of Bernard L. Madoff,</div> <div>Plaintiff,</div> <div>v.</div> <div>CATHAY LIFE INSURANCE CO. LTD.,</div> <div>Defendant.</div>	Adv. Pro. No. 11-02568 (CGM)

**CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN**

Plaintiff Irving H. Picard, as trustee (the “Trustee”) for the substantively consolidated liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC under the Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aaa-*lll*, and the chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff individually, and defendant Cathay Life Insurance Co. Ltd. (“Cathay,” together with the Trustee, the “Parties,” and each individually, a “Party”), by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby submit the following Case Management Plan pursuant to Rules 16 and 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

(the “Federal Rules”), applicable under Rules 7016 and 7026 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

1. Relevant Procedural History.

a. On September 1, 2011, the Trustee initiated the above-captioned adversary proceeding in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “Bankruptcy Court”) by filing a complaint against Cathay and Cathay United Bank Ltd. *Picard v. Cathay Life Insurance Co. Ltd., et al.*, Adv. Pro. No. 11-02568, ECF No. 1.

b. On August 8, 2012, the Trustee filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal Without Prejudice as to Cathay United Bank Ltd.. ECF No. 33.

c. On April 25, 2022, Cathay filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint, which the Trustee opposed. *See* ECF Nos. 116-23, 131.

d. On November 9, 2022, the Bankruptcy Court issued an Order Denying Cathay’s Motion to Dismiss the Complaint. *See* ECF No. 139.

e. On January 9, 2023, Cathay filed an Answer to Complaint. *See* ECF No. 141.

2. Fact Discovery Plan.

a. Fact Discovery Cut-Off. Unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties or ordered by the Bankruptcy Court, all fact discovery is to be completed by April 30, 2025 (the “Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date”).

b. Initial Disclosures. The Parties do not propose any changes in initial disclosures required under Federal Rule 26(a)(1)(A) (the “Initial Disclosures”). The Parties agree that they shall serve such Initial Disclosures as required under Federal Rule 26 on or before 45 days after the filing of this Case Management Plan.

c. Subjects On Which Discovery May Be Needed. The Parties contemplate that fact and expert discovery will be needed on asserted claims and defenses.

d. Foreign Discovery. The Trustee anticipates the need for discovery located outside of the United States, including from individuals and entities that may have been affiliated with Cathay. To obtain discovery from these individuals and entities, the Trustee will comply with the relevant discovery rules of the applicable jurisdiction, the Hague Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters, and/or any other internationally recognized means of obtaining cross-border discovery, including but not limited to letters rogatory (collectively, “International Discovery”). To the extent the Parties avail themselves of International Discovery procedures, they will not be deemed to have waived, prejudiced, or otherwise altered their rights to conduct discovery under the Federal Rules, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York (the “Local Bankruptcy Rules”), or other applicable domestic rules. To the extent that timely requested International Discovery is not received or depositions/examinations have not occurred by the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date, the parties agree to seek reasonable extensions of the deadlines set forth herein.

e. Form of Certain Discovery. The Trustee intends to utilize one or more electronic data rooms to affirmatively make available millions documents related to the Trustee’s claims. The Trustee’s use of such data will be governed by all applicable orders and rules.

f. Document Requests. The Parties may serve requests for documents on or before January 10, 2025.

g. Interrogatories. The Parties may serve interrogatories in accordance with the rules and limitations articulated in Local Bankruptcy Rule 7033-1.

h. Requests for Admission. The Parties may serve requests for admission on or before January 10, 2025.

i. Limitations on Discovery. Limitations on written discovery will be governed by the Federal Rules made applicable to this adversary proceeding by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and the Local Bankruptcy Rules. The Parties may agree on certain limitations on discovery or may file an application with the Court for good cause.

j. Production of Privileged Materials. The Parties acknowledge that the production of privileged or work product protected documents is not a waiver of the privilege or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. This Order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed by Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d). Nothing contained in this paragraph is intended to or shall serve to limit a party's right to conduct a review of documents, including electronically stored information, for relevance, responsiveness, and/or privileged and/or protected status.

k. Depositions. All depositions of fact witnesses shall be completed by the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date. Depositions shall proceed concurrently, with no party having priority. The Parties will endeavor to conclude the majority of depositions within the seven (7) hours provided by Federal Rule 30(d)(1), although the Parties acknowledge that there may be certain depositions of fact witnesses with knowledge of issues common to multiple Avoidance Actions (as defined below) that cannot be concluded within this time limit. For any such witnesses, the Parties will attempt in good faith to agree to reasonable expansions of the seven (7) hour time limit as appropriate, and failing agreement either party may apply to the Court for an expansion of this time limit.

To the extent the deposition of any fact witness raises common issues relevant to another avoidance action related to the above-captioned case (Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789) (the "Avoidance Action(s)"), in which fact discovery has not closed, the Parties shall make a good faith effort to coordinate such depositions with the witness and defendants in such Avoidance Actions to maximize efficiency and use of resources to the extent reasonably practicable. The Parties shall confer in good

faith and provide notice of such depositions under the Federal Rules, including the date, time, and location of any such depositions, to all relevant parties. In a coordinated deposition, the limitations set forth in Federal Rule 30(d)(1) shall not necessarily apply, and the parties shall agree on an appropriate duration for the deposition. Such depositions shall not prejudice or preclude either Party from additional depositions of such witness in Avoidance Actions in which initial disclosures have not been made and discovery is not open. The Parties agree that they will work in good faith to identify the requisite number of each Party's deponents and depositions. Depositions of Irving H. Picard himself are prohibited absent an order issued by this Court upon a showing of good cause.

All depositions of the Trustee's own party and non-party witnesses shall be conducted at the offices of Baker & Hostetler LLP, 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, NY 10111, or such other location as the Parties may agree.

3. Expert Discovery Plan. Within 30 days before the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date, the Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith on the need for and timing of expert discovery. The parties further agree to submit a proposed Amended Case Management Plan that addresses expert discovery in this action prior to the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date.

4. Manner of Production of Discovery Materials. The Parties may produce discovery on a CD-ROM, flash drive, portable hard drive, in an electronic data room, or other similar electronic format, or in hard copy to the extent appropriate.<sup>1</sup> Given the volume of documentation that may be subject to disclosure in this matter, the Parties may produce a summary report, such as an expert report, and provide access to the underlying documentation on which the summary report relies in

---

<sup>1</sup> Cathay intends to produce its documents in electronic form. Given the age of the case, however, it is possible that certain documents may only exist in hard copy format. If that occurs, and the documents are voluminous and it would be prohibitively expensive to convert to electronic form, then Cathay reserves the right to produce such documents in hard copy format, and the Trustee reserves the right to require that documents created or stored in hard copy format should be produced in a tiff format. Cathay will confer with the Trustee if it discovers documents that only exist in hard copy format.

an electronic data room or other medium for review by the Parties. Information and documents produced or made available electronically shall, to the extent reasonable feasible:

- (i) be text searchable;
- (ii) provide data and image load files necessary to review the documents on search platforms (*e.g.*, Summation, Concordance, Relativity) upon request of either party;
- (iii) provide any system-created or non-privileged captured objective metadata, such as date fields, author fields, custodian fields, path to native file, etc.;
- (iv) be organized, such as by date, custodian, or subject matter, as maintained in the ordinary course of business (in the case of information obtained by the Trustee from third parties) or as organized by the Trustee;
- (v) provide additional formats of production, metadata, or native documents if requested by either party.

The Parties shall meet and confer first in a good faith attempt to resolve any dispute, or thereafter comply with Local Bankruptcy Rule 7007-1 to resolve the dispute.

5. Confidentiality. The Litigation Protective Order (ECF No. 4137) entered on June 6, 2011 in Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 shall govern the disclosure of confidential information in this proceeding, except to the extent that the same has been modified by subsequent orders of the Bankruptcy Court.

6. Proposed Modifications Of Standard Pretrial Proceedings Due To The Special Nature Of The Action. The Parties do not presently believe that any such modifications are yet appropriate or necessary, and will contact the Court if their belief in this regard changes.

7. Prospects For Settlement, Including Whether A Settlement Conference Should Be Scheduled. The Parties believe that it would be premature to schedule a settlement conference at this time.

8. Trial. The Parties propose that they will confer with each other and the Court at the conclusion of all discovery to schedule a final pretrial conference and trial date.

Dated: April 19, 2023  
New York, New York

**BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP**

By: /s/ Eric R. Fish  
David J. Sheehan  
Eric R. Fish  
Michelle N. Tanney  
Kayley B. Sullivan  
45 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10111  
Telephone: (212) 589-4200  
Facsimile: (212) 589-4201  
Email: dsheehan@bakerlaw.com  
Email: efish@bakerlaw.com  
Email: mtanney@bakerlaw.com  
Email: kbsullivan@bakerlaw.com

*Attorneys for Irving H. Picard, Trustee for  
the Substantively Consolidated SIPA  
Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff  
Investment Securities LLC and the Chapter 7  
Estate of Bernard L. Madoff*

**AKERMAN LLP**

By: /s/ David R. Parham  
David R. Parham (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)  
C. Bryce Benson (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)  
2001 Ross Avenue  
Suite 3600  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
Telephone: (214) 720-4300  
Facsimile: (214) 981-9339  
Email: david.parham@akerman.com  
Email: bryce.benson@akerman.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Cathay Life  
Insurance Co. Ltd.*

**Dated: April 21, 2023  
Poughkeepsie, New York**



/s/ Cecelia G. Morris

**Hon. Cecelia G. Morris  
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge**